FITCH AFFIRMS URUGUAY AT 'BBB-'; OUTLOOK STABLE

Fitch Ratings-New York-21 September 2017: Fitch Ratings has affirmed Uruguay's Long-Term Foreign and Local Currency Issuer Default Ratings (IDRs) at 'BBB-' with a Stable Outlook. A full list of rating actions follows at the end of this press release.

KEY RATING DRIVERS

Uruguay's ratings are supported by strong structural features in terms of social and institutional development, a strong external balance sheet, and fiscal financing buffers. These factors are balanced by a weak track record of compliance with inflation and fiscal targets, weighing on policy credibility, relatively elevated and dollarized public debt, and high budget rigidity.

Uruguay's economy is on track for a strong rebound in 2017 after two years of sluggish growth. Fitch forecasts growth of 3.5% in 2017, up from 1.5% in 2016 and 0.4% in 2015. Stronger consumption is driving the recovery, reflecting the boost to real wages and social benefits from the sharp slowdown in inflation, as well as a strong local currency. Exports have also surged on a record soy harvest, strong tourism inflows from Brazil and Argentina, and solid growth among other mostly agriculture-oriented goods.

Uruguay's economic recovery is somewhat less robust than the headline GDP figures suggest, in Fitch's view. The small telecom sector alone explains half of cumulative real GDP growth in 2011-2016 and continued to lift the figures in the first half of 2017 (an outsized contribution that mostly reflects measurement challenges affecting national accounting of dynamic service sectors). The labor market remains weak, with unemployment around 8%.

Investment has also continued to contract in 2017, highlighting potential headwinds to the growth outlook as the cyclical factors underpinning strong private consumption wane. Restrictive labor laws and high local costs in terms of taxes and utility prices are key investment bottlenecks according to international surveys. However, there has been recent progress on a major pulp plant and related infrastructure, supporting Fitch's baseline that this project will advance as soon as 2019. Fitch projects growth of 2.8% in 2018 and 3.0% in 2019.

Inflation has fallen considerably to within the target range (5% plus/minus 2pp) in 2017, and stood at 5.4% as of August. It is not yet clear how lasting this lower inflation level will be in Fitch's view, given it mostly reflects a sharp fall in tradables inflation due to peso strength, while non-tradables inflation remains around 8%. Nevertheless, expectations have converged toward the 7% upper bound of the target, which could portend progress in reducing Uruguay's structurally high inflation (among the highest in investment-grade space in the past five years). Monetary policy has taken a less contractionary stance amid the improved inflation backdrop in Fitch's view, evidenced by the fall in real interest rates from 5% to 3%. High dollarization and low financial depth limit continue to weaken the efficacy of monetary policy.

External finances have seen a significant improvement. The current account moved to a 0.5%-of-GDP surplus in the four quarters through 2017Q1 after a decade of deficits, driven by strong exports and tourism inflows and lower capital imports due to the ebb in investment. An improved current account and strong local preference for local currency assets have generated appreciation pressure on the peso in 2017, prompting considerable reserve accumulation by the central bank. This stands to further bolster Uruguay's strong reserve coverage and external liquidity ratios, which had already improved given reduced liquid external liabilities in terms of Argentine deposits and non-resident holdings of local bonds (2% of the total in June, down from 25% at end-2015).

Uruguay's key credit challenge remains reducing its fiscal deficit. Fitch projects that consolidation efforts will lower the central government deficit to 3.2% of GDP in 2017 from 3.7% in 2016. Income tax hikes have boosted revenues in line with projections, while taxes and dividends from public companies have risen as lower energy costs have not been passed on to consumers. Spending pressures have offset some of the improvement: pension costs are rising on indexation and a greater-than-expected impact of prior relaxation of retirement rules, and healthcare costs on expansion of insurance (FONASA) to a final cohort of dependents in 2016.

The authorities aim to reduce the public sector deficit to 2.5% of GDP in 2019 from 3.9% in 2016 (3.7% to 2.5% for the central government). The budget for 2018 includes tax hikes on imports and gambling to keep fiscal targets on track, given that better growth and revenue forecasts have been more than offset by rising pension costs. Fitch believes the government will fall short of its consolidation goals, however, given the further fiscal adjustment this would require will become increasingly difficult ahead of 2019 elections. Appetite for further tax hikes is limited; capital spending is already very low, and spending faces pressure from rigid social entitlements and pledges for further budget hikes (i.e. lifting education spending to 6% of GDP). Uruguay has a poor track record of meeting fiscal targets, and deficits have tended to rise in election years.

Fitch projects that fiscal deficits will lift the general government debt burden to 62% of GDP by 2019, from 57% in 2016. Uruguay's debt level is above the 'BBB' median of around 40% of GDP, although less so when excluding 8.5pp in recapitalization bonds issued to the central bank with low refinancing risk (but included by Fitch for consistency with data reported by other sovereigns). Financing risks are low due to a long-dated debt profile and liquidity buffers including USD 2.4 billion in contingent credit lines and a long-standing pre-financing policy.

Uruguay's sovereign balance sheet has proven sensitive to exchange rate movements, reflecting a relatively high share of foreign-currency debt. Real peso appreciation has slowed the rise in debt metrics in 2016 and 2017, but this benefit is likely to wear off in the coming years. However, the sovereign aims to decrease its reliance on foreign currency funding, taking advantage of lower peso interest rates to issue two peso-denominated global bonds in 2017.

Political challenges for the ruling Frente Amplio (FA) coalition have risen due to a tighter budget envelope and tensions surrounding the resignation of the vice president due to ethical issues. Fitch believes this episode reflects the country's high governance standards rather than signaling a deterioration, but it could pose risks should it weaken the cohesion or public support of the FA in a way that hinders policy priorities such as fiscal consolidation. The FA recently recovered a legislative majority, which should support already strong governability.

SOVEREIGN RATING MODEL (SRM) and QUALITATIVE OVERLAY (QO)

Fitch's proprietary SRM assigns Uruguay a score equivalent to a rating of 'BBB+' on the Long-term FC IDR scale. Fitch's sovereign rating committee adjusted the output from the SRM to arrive at the final Long-Term FC IDR by applying its QO, relative to rated peers, as follows:

- --Macro: -1 notch, to reflect a weak track record of compliance with inflation and fiscal targets, which weighs on policy credibility and counter-cyclical policy scope.
- --Public Finances: -1 notch, to reflect a highly rigid expenditure profile dominated by heavily indexed and constitutionally-protected social entitlements, with a low share of capital spending. This poses challenges to fiscal consolidation goals. A relatively large stock of foreign-currency debt exposes fiscal metrics to high exchange-rate risk, although the authorities are making progress on increasing reliance on local-currency funding.

Fitch's SRM is the agency's proprietary multiple regression rating model that employs 18 variables based on three year centered averages, including one year of forecasts, to produce a score equivalent to a Long-Term FC IDR. Fitch's QO is a forward-looking qualitative framework

designed to allow for adjustment to the SRM output to assign the final rating, reflecting factors within our criteria that are not fully quantifiable and/or not fully reflected in the SRM.

RATING SENSITIVITIES

The main risk factors that, individually or collectively, could trigger a negative rating action are:

- --Fiscal slippage leading to a faster trend increase in the government debt burden;
- -- Deterioration in growth prospects;
- --Erosion of external liquidity buffers.

Conversely, the main factors that could lead to a positive rating action are:

- --Fiscal consolidation consistent with stabilization of the public debt trajectory;
- -- A sustained reduction in inflation and better anchoring of inflation expectations;
- --Evidence of investments or productivity gains that lift medium-term growth prospects.

KEY ASSUMPTIONS

- --Fitch assumes that construction of a new pulp mill project will take place as soon as 2019, supporting growth during the construction phase and once production begins.
- --Fitch assumes that after contracting in 2016, Brazil's economy will post positive growth in 2017-2018, supporting economic activity in Uruguay.

Fitch has affirmed Uruguay's ratings as follows:

- --Long-term foreign currency IDR at 'BBB-'; Outlook Stable;
- --Long-term local currency IDR at 'BBB-'; Outlook Stable;
- --Short-term foreign currency IDR at 'F3';
- --Short-term local currency IDR at 'F3';
- -- Country Ceiling at 'BBB+';
- --Issue ratings on long-term senior-unsecured foreign currency bonds at 'BBB-';
- -- Issue ratings on long-term senior-unsecured local currency bonds at 'BBB-'.

Contact:

Primary Analyst
Todd Martinez
Director
+1-212-908-0897
Fitch Ratings, Inc.
33 Whitehall Street
New York, NY 10004

Secondary Analyst Arend Kulenkampff Director +1-646-582-4720

Committee Chairperson Shelly Shetty Senior Director +1-212-908-0324

Media Relations: Benjamin Rippey, New York, Tel: +1 646 582 4588, Email: benjamin.rippey@fitchratings.com.

Additional information is available on www.fitchratings.com

Applicable Criteria Country Ceilings Criteria (pub. 21 Jul 2017) https://www.fitchratings.com/site/re/901393 Sovereign Rating Criteria (pub. 21 Jul 2017) https://www.fitchratings.com/site/re/901261

ALL FITCH CREDIT RATINGS ARE SUBJECT TO CERTAIN LIMITATIONS AND DISCLAIMERS. PLEASE READ THESE LIMITATIONS AND DISCLAIMERS BY FOLLOWING THIS LINK: HTTPS://WWW.FITCHRATINGS.COM/UNDERSTANDINGCREDITRATINGS. IN ADDITION, RATING DEFINITIONS AND THE TERMS OF USE OF SUCH RATINGS ARE AVAILABLE ON THE AGENCY'S PUBLIC WEB SITE AT WWW.FITCHRATINGS.COM. PUBLISHED RATINGS, CRITERIA, AND METHODOLOGIES ARE AVAILABLE FROM THIS SITE AT ALL TIMES. FITCH'S CODE OF CONDUCT, CONFIDENTIALITY, CONFLICTS OF INTEREST, AFFILIATE FIREWALL, COMPLIANCE, AND OTHER RELEVANT POLICIES AND PROCEDURES ARE ALSO AVAILABLE FROM THE CODE OF CONDUCT SECTION OF THIS SITE. DIRECTORS AND SHAREHOLDERS RELEVANT INTERESTS ARE AVAILABLE AT HTTPS://WWW.FITCHRATINGS.COM/SITE/REGULATORY. FITCH MAY HAVE PROVIDED ANOTHER PERMISSIBLE SERVICE TO THE RATED ENTITY OR ITS RELATED THIRD PARTIES. DETAILS OF THIS SERVICE FOR RATINGS FOR WHICH THE LEAD ANALYST IS BASED IN AN EU-REGISTERED ENTITY CAN BE FOUND ON THE ENTITY SUMMARY PAGE FOR THIS ISSUER ON THE FITCH WEBSITE.

Copyright © 2017 by Fitch Ratings, Inc., Fitch Ratings Ltd. and its subsidiaries. 33 Whitehall Street, NY, NY 10004. Telephone: 1-800-753-4824, (212) 908-0500. Fax: (212) 480-4435. Reproduction or retransmission in whole or in part is prohibited except by permission. All rights reserved. In issuing and maintaining its ratings and in making other reports (including forecast information), Fitch relies on factual information it receives from issuers and underwriters and from other sources Fitch believes to be credible. Fitch conducts a reasonable investigation of the factual information relied upon by it in accordance with its ratings methodology, and obtains reasonable verification of that information from independent sources, to the extent such sources are available for a given security or in a given jurisdiction. The manner of Fitch's factual investigation and the scope of the third-party verification it obtains will vary depending on the nature of the rated security and its issuer, the requirements and practices in the jurisdiction in which the rated security is offered and sold and/or the issuer is located, the availability and nature of relevant public information, access to the management of the issuer and its advisers, the availability of pre-existing third-party verifications such as audit reports, agreed-upon procedures letters, appraisals, actuarial reports, engineering reports, legal opinions and other reports provided by third parties, the availability of independent and competent third- party verification sources with respect to the particular security or in the particular jurisdiction of the issuer, and a variety of other factors. Users of Fitch's ratings and reports should understand that neither an enhanced factual investigation nor any third-party verification can ensure that all of the information Fitch relies on in connection with a rating or a report will be accurate and complete. Ultimately, the issuer and its advisers are responsible for the accuracy of the information they provide to Fitch and to the market in offering documents and other reports. In issuing its ratings and its reports, Fitch must rely on the work of experts, including independent auditors with respect to financial statements and attorneys with respect to legal and tax matters. Further, ratings and forecasts of financial and other information are inherently forward-looking and embody assumptions and predictions about future events that by their nature cannot be verified as facts. As a result, despite any verification of current facts, ratings and forecasts can be affected by future events or conditions that were not anticipated at the time a rating or forecast was issued or affirmed.

The information in this report is provided "as is" without any representation or warranty of any kind, and Fitch does not represent or warrant that the report or any of its contents will meet any of the requirements of a recipient of the report. A Fitch rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a security. This opinion and reports made by Fitch are based on established criteria and methodologies that Fitch is continuously evaluating and updating. Therefore, ratings and reports are the collective work product of Fitch and no individual, or group of individuals, is solely responsible for a rating or a report. The rating does not address the risk of loss due to risks other than credit risk, unless such risk is specifically mentioned. Fitch is not engaged in the offer or sale of any security. All Fitch reports have shared authorship. Individuals identified in a Fitch report were involved in, but are not solely responsible for, the opinions stated therein. The individuals are named for contact purposes only. A report providing a Fitch rating is neither a prospectus nor a substitute for the information assembled, verified and presented to investors by the issuer and its agents in connection with the sale of the securities. Ratings may be changed or withdrawn at any time for any reason in the sole discretion of Fitch. Fitch does not provide investment advice of any sort. Ratings are not a recommendation to buy, sell, or hold any security. Ratings do not comment on the adequacy of market price, the suitability of any security for a particular investor, or the tax-exempt nature or taxability of payments made in respect to any security. Fitch receives fees from issuers, insurers, guarantors, other obligors, and underwriters for rating securities. Such fees generally vary from US\$1,000 to US\$750,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent) per issue. In certain cases, Fitch will rate all or a number of issues issued by a particular issuer, or insured or guaranteed by a particular insurer or guarantor, for a single annual fee. Such fees are expected to vary from US\$10,000 to US\$1,500,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent). The assignment, publication, or dissemination of a rating by Fitch shall not constitute a consent by Fitch to use its name as an expert in connection with any registration statement filed under the United States securities laws, the Financial Services and Markets Act of 2000 of the United Kingdom, or the securities laws of any particular jurisdiction. Due to the relative efficiency of electronic publishing and distribution, Fitch research may be available to electronic subscribers up to three days earlier than to print subscribers.

For Australia, New Zealand, Taiwan and South Korea only: Fitch Australia Pty Ltd holds an Australian financial services license (AFS license no. 337123) which authorizes it to provide credit ratings to wholesale clients only. Credit ratings information published by Fitch is not intended to be used by persons who are retail clients within the meaning of the Corporations Act 2001