

Rating Action: Moody's changes outlook on Uruguay's Baa2 rating to stable from negative; rating affirmed

Global Credit Research - 13 Jul 2017

New York, July 13, 2017 -- Moody's Investors Service has today affirmed the government of Uruguay's Baa2 issuer and government bond ratings and raised the outlook to stable from negative. The government's senior unsecured government bond ratings were affirmed at Baa2, as was the senior unsecured shelf program rating at (P)Baa2.

RATINGS RATIONALE

Two key drivers underpin the change in outlook to stable from negative:

- 1) The government's strong commitment to fiscal consolidation as demonstrated in policy measures that are likely to stabilize government debt metrics;
- 2) An improving macroeconomic performance that will support the ongoing fiscal consolidation process.

The affirmation of the Baa2 rating reflects a balance of credit strengths and weaknesses including moderate government financing needs and a favorable debt maturity profile, large external and financial buffers, a strong institutional framework and a firm commitment to arrest the deterioration in debt metrics. The rating also incorporates credit challenges which include structural rigidities in the government's expenditure composition, a relatively high share of foreign currency-denominated government debt, a high degree of financial dollarization in the banking system, and a more moderate growth outlook compared to the 2004-13 period.

Uruguay's long-term local currency country risk ceilings remain unchanged at A2. The foreign currency bond ceiling and the foreign currency bank deposit ceiling also remain unchanged at A2/P-2 and Baa2/P-2, respectively.

RATIONALE FOR THE CHANGE TO STABLE OUTLOOK

-- FIRST DRIVER: MEASURES ANNOUNCED DEMONSTRATE AUTHORITIES' COMMITMENT TO FISCAL CONSOLIDATION AND WILL SUPPORT THE STABILIZATION OF DEBT METRICS

The first factor driving Moody's decision to change the outlook to stable from negative are the measures undertaken and announced by the government that will contribute to the reduction of fiscal deficits and likely ensure the stabilization of debt metrics. The negative outlook on the rating, placed in June 2016, was driven in part by the likelihood that the consolidated central government deficit, which had widened to 2.8% of GDP in 2015 from 2.3% in 2014, would widen further. This, combined with a sharp depreciation of the exchange rate, would add to the government's debt burden. Indeed, in 2016, the fiscal deficit widened to 3.7% of GDP. However, authorities have demonstrated their capacity and willingness to arrest this deterioration in the fiscal profile, and our expectation is that their fiscal measures will stabilize government debt ratios.

In 2016, as part of the budget review process, the government announced a series of measures that sought to increase revenues and restrain growth of discretionary spending in 2017 in order to reduce the fiscal deficit. Through May 2017, these measures have supported a reduction in the deficit as revenues grew 13.6% y/y in nominal terms, compared to an expansion in expenditures of 8.7% y/y. As a result, the fiscal deficit in the first five months of the year fell to UYU2.7 billion from UYU10.8 billion during the same period in 2016. We expect the full year deficit to fall closer to 3.2% of GDP in 2017.

Despite an improving macroeconomic environment since the second half of 2016, authorities have maintained a conservative approach to fiscal policy for 2018. While stronger economic growth will likely support higher revenues, the government is proposing limiting the expansion of discretionary spending in next year's budget. Additional expenditures in the education sector, the only discretionary item that is budgeted to see a significant increase in 2018, will be financed by revenue proposals, making the impact of this higher spending deficit-neutral. The government has also announced its intention to address the medium-term challenges of payroll- and pension-related spending.

The government's debt-to-GDP ratio peaked at 47.4% of GDP in 2015, up from 39.3% in 2014. In 2016, despite the wider fiscal deficit, currency appreciation and relative faster economic growth than the previous year led the ratio to fall to 47.1%. The government is currently targeting a public sector deficit of 2.5% of GDP by 2019. Moody's baseline currently assumes that the government will be able to reduce the deficit to levels close to this target. Moreover, this deficit reduction combined with economic growth close to potential and a moderate rate of exchange rate depreciation beginning in 2018, Moody's forecasts that the government's debt-to-GDP will stabilize around 46% in 2017-19, compared with the Baa-median of 45%. Meanwhile, after rising from 8.5% in 2015, Moody's expects Uruguay's interest-to-revenues ratios will stabilize over the coming years at around 10%, compared with the Baa-category median of 8%.

-- SECOND DRIVER: IMPROVING MACROECONOMIC PERFORMANCE WILL SUPPORT FISCAL CONSOLIDATION PROCESS

The second driver of the outlook change is the improving macroeconomic performance in Uruguay, which will support the government's deficit reduction efforts. Uruguay's economic performance in 2016 exceeded Moody's original expectations as real GDP growth was 1.5% compared to our 0.0-0.5% range estimate. While still below potential, which Moody's estimates at about 3%, last year's growth was driven by both improving domestic demand and, more recently, stronger external demand. Moody's also notes that Uruguay's performance has shown greater resilience than in the past to external shocks stemming from neighbors and key trading partners Argentina and Brazil, both of which experienced recessions last year. Moody's now forecasts growth of about 3.3% in 2017, compared to 1.9% previously, and for output expansion to remain around 3% in 2018-19. Stronger economic growth will support fiscal consolidation via higher government revenues. Additionally, ongoing discussions about a potential large-scale pulp mill project presents an upside for growth starting in 2019.

Meanwhile, inflation dynamics have also been more favorable over the past year. Inflation peaked at 11% in May 2016 and has since fallen to 5.3% as of June 2017. This marked the fourth consecutive month that inflation came within the monetary authorities' inflation targeting band of 3-7%, with inflation exceeding the target since December 2010. Historically, inflation has been an important factor in fiscal dynamics because it determined growth in wages and pensions, which together represent almost half of government spending. Pension payments are linked to average wage growth in the country and wage-setting negotiations in the private and public sectors previously used past inflation to determine salary increases. Authorities have sought to de-link wages from past inflation by setting new guidelines for salary negotiations based on forward looking nominal increases to reflect gains in productivity by economic sectors. Should inflation remain at moderate levels in future years, Moody's expects that wage growth will be lower than in the past, likely better reflecting productivity growth in the country. This in turn would reduce the rate at which an important portion of public expenditures currently expands, thus providing some additional fiscal space over the medium term.

More moderate and stable inflation in the country would also support the development of a domestic financial market that allows the government to increasingly finance itself in local currency. Access to local currency financing would address a key credit challenge: the large share of debt denominated in foreign currency, which was 55% in 2016, that leaves government finances vulnerable to exchange rate depreciation.

AFFIRMATION OF Baa2 RATING SUPPORTED BY STRONG INSTITUTIONAL FRAMEWORK, FINANCIAL BUFFERS

While Uruguay's debt metrics have deteriorated in recent years, the sovereign's creditworthiness is supported by factors such as its fiscal buffers and favorable maturity profile that mitigate the potential financing risks of a moderate debt burden of about 47% of GDP for the central government. The government's liquid fiscal assets were 3.2% of GDP as March 2017 and as part of its liability management, Uruguay's government aims to hold liquid assets worth at least 12 months of debt service. In addition, the government also has contingent credit lines with multilateral development banks that would allow it to have an additional 12 months of debt service coverage. Uruguay's government also has a very long debt maturity profile, which helps mitigate rollover risk. The government's external debt has an average maturity of almost 15 years, while that of domestic debt is closer to 10 years. Given Uruguay's extended debt maturity, the government faces modest refinancing requirements over the medium term given yearly principal payments of 2% of GDP on average over the next five years.

Uruguay's high institutional strength is also an important factor supporting its Baa2 rating. This feature of its credit profile has allowed Uruguay to become an attractive destination for foreign investment and has also supported the government's willingness and ability to implement a fiscal consolidation program.

At the same time, Uruguay's Baa2 rating incorporates the weaknesses within its credit profile. Among the credit challenges is the rigidity in the government's expenditure composition due to constitutional arrangements that set the growth rate of spending items such as pensions and other social expenditures, thus limiting the ability of the government to adjust their growth rate as part of its fiscal management. While the changes made to wage negotiation guidelines will contribute to lower some fiscal pressures, Moody's notes that over the medium term these expenditure items, particularly pensions, may lead to a deterioration of the government's structural balance if left unaddressed.

Another vulnerability of Uruguay's credit profile is the share of government debt that is denominated in dollars. After falling from 90% over a decade ago, this ratio has remained close to 50% since 2010. At this level, Uruguay's debt metrics are exposed to exchange rate volatility to a higher degree than most of its Baa-rated peers.

Moody's also notes that the Baa2 rating considers Uruguay's more moderate growth outlook over the coming years, particularly compared to the high growth recorded in 2004-13, when GDP expanded on average 5.6%. This strong economic performance supported the decline in the government's debt ratios. More recently, the growth slowdown has presented fiscal challenges, including lower than expected revenue growth. However, growth closer to 3% in 2017-19 will help stabilize debt ratios.

WHAT COULD CHANGE THE RATING - UP

Upward rating pressure could result from (1) a significant strengthening of the government balance sheet through a reduction of the sovereign's debt and interest burden, (2) a reduction in vulnerability through a significant decrease in the share of foreign currency government debt and (3) a reduction structural rigidities in the economy such that potential growth increased.

WHAT COULD CHANGE THE RATING - DOWN

Downward rating pressure could result from (1) fiscal measures or outcomes falling significantly short of achieving the authorities' fiscal targets, leading to a continued increase in debt ratios and a deteriorating medium term fiscal profile, (2) a weakening in institutional strength and policy responsiveness, particularly to any renewed fiscal challenges or (3) a sustained and material erosion of external and financial buffers.

GDP per capita (PPP basis, US\$): 21,527 (2016 Actual) (also known as Per Capita Income)

Real GDP growth (% change): 1.5% (2016 Actual) (also known as GDP Growth)

Inflation Rate (CPI, % change Dec/Dec): 8.1% (2016 Actual)

Gen. Gov. Financial Balance/GDP: -3.7% (2016 Actual) (also known as Fiscal Balance)

Current Account Balance/GDP: -0.1% (2016 Actual) (also known as External Balance)

External debt/GDP: 49.9% (2016 Actual)

Level of economic development: High level of economic resilience

Default history: At least one default event (on bonds and/or loans) has been recorded since 1983.

On 12 July 2017, a rating committee was called to discuss the rating of the Uruguay, Government of. The main points raised during the discussion were: The issuer's economic fundamentals, including its economic strength, have materially increased. The issuer's institutional strength/framework, have materially increased. The issuer's fiscal or financial strength, including its debt profile, has materially increased.

The principal methodology used in these ratings was Sovereign Bond Ratings published in December 2016. Please see the Rating Methodologies page on www.moody.com for a copy of this methodology.

The weighting of all rating factors is described in the methodology used in this credit rating action, if applicable.

REGULATORY DISCLOSURES

For ratings issued on a program, series or category/class of debt, this announcement provides certain regulatory disclosures in relation to each rating of a subsequently issued bond or note of the same series or category/class of debt or pursuant to a program for which the ratings are derived exclusively from existing

ratings in accordance with Moody's rating practices. For ratings issued on a support provider, this announcement provides certain regulatory disclosures in relation to the credit rating action on the support provider and in relation to each particular credit rating action for securities that derive their credit ratings from the support provider's credit rating. For provisional ratings, this announcement provides certain regulatory disclosures in relation to the provisional rating assigned, and in relation to a definitive rating that may be assigned subsequent to the final issuance of the debt, in each case where the transaction structure and terms have not changed prior to the assignment of the definitive rating in a manner that would have affected the rating. For further information please see the ratings tab on the issuer/entity page for the respective issuer on www.moodys.com.

For any affected securities or rated entities receiving direct credit support from the primary entity(ies) of this credit rating action, and whose ratings may change as a result of this credit rating action, the associated regulatory disclosures will be those of the guarantor entity. Exceptions to this approach exist for the following disclosures, if applicable to jurisdiction: Ancillary Services, Disclosure to rated entity, Disclosure from rated entity.

Regulatory disclosures contained in this press release apply to the credit rating and, if applicable, the related rating outlook or rating review.

Please see www.moodys.com for any updates on changes to the lead rating analyst and to the Moody's legal entity that has issued the rating.

Please see the ratings tab on the issuer/entity page on www.moodys.com for additional regulatory disclosures for each credit rating.

Renzo Merino
Asst Vice President - Analyst
Sovereign Risk Group
Moody's Investors Service, Inc.
250 Greenwich Street
New York, NY 10007
U.S.A.
JOURNALISTS: 1 212 553 0376
Client Service: 1 212 553 1653

Atsi Sheth
MD - Sovereign Risk
Sovereign Risk Group
JOURNALISTS: 1 212 553 0376
Client Service: 1 212 553 1653

Releasing Office:
Moody's Investors Service, Inc.
250 Greenwich Street
New York, NY 10007
U.S.A.
JOURNALISTS: 1 212 553 0376
Client Service: 1 212 553 1653



© 2017 Moody's Corporation, Moody's Investors Service, Inc., Moody's Analytics, Inc. and/or their licensors and affiliates (collectively, "MOODY'S"). All rights reserved.

CREDIT RATINGS ISSUED BY MOODY'S INVESTORS SERVICE, INC. AND ITS RATINGS AFFILIATES ("MIS") ARE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES, AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS MAY INCLUDE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES. MOODY'S DEFINES CREDIT RISK AS THE RISK THAT AN ENTITY MAY NOT MEET

ITS CONTRACTUAL, FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS AS THEY COME DUE AND ANY ESTIMATED FINANCIAL LOSS IN THE EVENT OF DEFAULT. CREDIT RATINGS DO NOT ADDRESS ANY OTHER RISK, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO: LIQUIDITY RISK, MARKET VALUE RISK, OR PRICE VOLATILITY. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S OPINIONS INCLUDED IN MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT STATEMENTS OF CURRENT OR HISTORICAL FACT. MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS MAY ALSO INCLUDE QUANTITATIVE MODEL-BASED ESTIMATES OF CREDIT RISK AND RELATED OPINIONS OR COMMENTARY PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ANALYTICS, INC. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS DO NOT CONSTITUTE OR PROVIDE INVESTMENT OR FINANCIAL ADVICE, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT AND DO NOT PROVIDE RECOMMENDATIONS TO PURCHASE, SELL, OR HOLD PARTICULAR SECURITIES. NEITHER CREDIT RATINGS NOR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS COMMENT ON THE SUITABILITY OF AN INVESTMENT FOR ANY PARTICULAR INVESTOR. MOODY'S ISSUES ITS CREDIT RATINGS AND PUBLISHES MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS WITH THE EXPECTATION AND UNDERSTANDING THAT EACH INVESTOR WILL, WITH DUE CARE, MAKE ITS OWN STUDY AND EVALUATION OF EACH SECURITY THAT IS UNDER CONSIDERATION FOR PURCHASE, HOLDING, OR SALE.

MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT INTENDED FOR USE BY RETAIL INVESTORS AND IT WOULD BE RECKLESS AND INAPPROPRIATE FOR RETAIL INVESTORS TO USE MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS OR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS WHEN MAKING AN INVESTMENT DECISION. IF IN DOUBT YOU SHOULD CONTACT YOUR FINANCIAL OR OTHER PROFESSIONAL ADVISER.

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS PROTECTED BY LAW, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO, COPYRIGHT LAW, AND NONE OF SUCH INFORMATION MAY BE COPIED OR OTHERWISE REPRODUCED, REPACKAGED, FURTHER TRANSMITTED, TRANSFERRED, DISSEMINATED, REDISTRIBUTED OR RESOLD, OR STORED FOR SUBSEQUENT USE FOR ANY SUCH PURPOSE, IN WHOLE OR IN PART, IN ANY FORM OR MANNER OR BY ANY MEANS WHATSOEVER, BY ANY PERSON WITHOUT MOODY'S PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT.

All information contained herein is obtained by MOODY'S from sources believed by it to be accurate and reliable. Because of the possibility of human or mechanical error as well as other factors, however, all information contained herein is provided "AS IS" without warranty of any kind. MOODY'S adopts all necessary measures so that the information it uses in assigning a credit rating is of sufficient quality and from sources MOODY'S considers to be reliable including, when appropriate, independent third-party sources. However, MOODY'S is not an auditor and cannot in every instance independently verify or validate information received in the rating process or in preparing the Moody's publications.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability to any person or entity for any indirect, special, consequential, or incidental losses or damages whatsoever arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information, even if MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers is advised in advance of the possibility of such losses or damages, including but not limited to: (a) any loss of present or prospective profits or (b) any loss or damage arising where the relevant financial instrument is not the subject of a particular credit rating assigned by MOODY'S.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability for any direct or compensatory losses or damages caused to any person or entity, including but not limited to by any negligence (but excluding fraud, willful misconduct or any other type of liability that, for the avoidance of doubt, by law cannot be excluded) on the part of, or any contingency within or beyond the control of, MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers, arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information.

NO WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, AS TO THE ACCURACY, TIMELINESS, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR ANY PARTICULAR PURPOSE OF ANY SUCH RATING OR OTHER OPINION OR INFORMATION IS GIVEN OR MADE BY MOODY'S IN ANY FORM OR MANNER WHATSOEVER.

Moody's Investors Service, Inc., a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Corporation

("MCO"), hereby discloses that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by Moody's Investors Service, Inc. have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to Moody's Investors Service, Inc. for appraisal and rating services rendered by it fees ranging from \$1,500 to approximately \$2,500,000. MCO and MIS also maintain policies and procedures to address the independence of MIS's ratings and rating processes. Information regarding certain affiliations that may exist between directors of MCO and rated entities, and between entities who hold ratings from MIS and have also publicly reported to the SEC an ownership interest in MCO of more than 5%, is posted annually at www.moodys.com under the heading "Investor Relations — Corporate Governance — Director and Shareholder Affiliation Policy."

Additional terms for Australia only: Any publication into Australia of this document is pursuant to the Australian Financial Services License of MOODY'S affiliate, Moody's Investors Service Pty Limited ABN 61 003 399 657AFSL 336969 and/or Moody's Analytics Australia Pty Ltd ABN 94 105 136 972 AFSL 383569 (as applicable). This document is intended to be provided only to "wholesale clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. By continuing to access this document from within Australia, you represent to MOODY'S that you are, or are accessing the document as a representative of, a "wholesale client" and that neither you nor the entity you represent will directly or indirectly disseminate this document or its contents to "retail clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. MOODY'S credit rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a debt obligation of the issuer, not on the equity securities of the issuer or any form of security that is available to retail investors. It would be reckless and inappropriate for retail investors to use MOODY'S credit ratings or publications when making an investment decision. If in doubt you should contact your financial or other professional adviser.

Additional terms for Japan only: Moody's Japan K.K. ("MJKK") is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Group Japan G.K., which is wholly-owned by Moody's Overseas Holdings Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of MCO. Moody's SF Japan K.K. ("MSFJ") is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of MJKK. MSFJ is not a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization ("NRSRO"). Therefore, credit ratings assigned by MSFJ are Non-NRSRO Credit Ratings. Non-NRSRO Credit Ratings are assigned by an entity that is not a NRSRO and, consequently, the rated obligation will not qualify for certain types of treatment under U.S. laws. MJKK and MSFJ are credit rating agencies registered with the Japan Financial Services Agency and their registration numbers are FSA Commissioner (Ratings) No. 2 and 3 respectively.

MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) hereby disclose that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) for appraisal and rating services rendered by it fees ranging from JPY200,000 to approximately JPY350,000,000.

MJKK and MSFJ also maintain policies and procedures to address Japanese regulatory requirements.